G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Vol Proceedings M 2074-83
RF 1667-72
Date 2nd August 1901

Later references.

1903.

(Separate Revenue)

File No. 197 of 02

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Major **Part B for** August 1903

SUBJECT.

Claiming the Inamdar of Linganajapura Village, Bangalore Taluk.

Contents

S/1 From the Excise Commissioner in Mysore
Docket No 4659/220 D/ 16th February 1905
Submits with his opinion on the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Kayanjatte Linganajapura Village.

S/2 (1) The Excise Commissioner (with records)
(2) The Comptroller Mysore Government
No 178-9 dated 31/8/03
Decides that the Inamdar of the village referred to above is not entitled to the above Claim

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

S.R. file No. 197 of 02

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Excise

Subject. Letter No. 4659/290 of 10.2.03, from the Excise Commr submitting the record of enquiry in re the claim of the Inamdar of Kayangulla Lingarajapur village, to the ~~Toddy~~ revenue of the village.

Enclosed is the record of enquiry in the ~~clai~~ matter of the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy revenue of the village.

The Kayangulla bases his claim on the ground that, after the grant of the Inam, trees (date?) were planted and a large sum of money ~~has been~~ was spent towards making the village "populous" and ~~(a tank was constructed~~ the construction of a tank in the village; and that his forefathers were "enjoying the fruits amount of date trees".

"There is no shop in the village, either of Toddy, Sanja or Arrack."

There is nothing in the Sanad or the Inam settlement Register to support the claim to the toddy revenue.

According to the finding of the investigating Officer (not D.M. Narsinga Rau) there is "no credible evidence regarding the enjoyment of

of such right".

The Excise Com^rs concurs with the findings of the investigating Officer and recommends the grant of 1/5 of the 2/3 rent of the "Grove Farm".

The case falls under Rule, 4. [redacted] of Govt Profs. 2074-83 & 2.8.1901.
R7166-92

Sub^d with a draft for approval.

19/8/03.

To the Dewan,
through the [illegible] Councillor.

Sub^d & [illegible] your consideration.

The claim must be decided either by Council or by Dewan Settlement. If the Executive Council holds this as alleged he cannot get rent for the [illegible] & agree to the claim for Excise Revenue being disallowed

Sub^d (this procedure is recommended by the investigating Officer with whom the Excise Commr has concurred — 23/8/03

12431/24.VIII.03

S. R. file no 1982-02

17 FEB 1903

9033 & 299

1903

From P. V. Ramaiya Esqr
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
A. V. Narayandiah Esqr
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Department.

Dated 10th February 1903.

Despatched 13 do

Received

General No. 4659
No. 290
Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject.

Submits with his opinion on the record of enquiry relating to the Taddy Revenue of Kayan-gutta Lingarajapura, Bangalore Taluk.

Exon
17.2.03

Put within
note sheet

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 4659 Enc. 1 file
290

dated 10th February 1903.

From.

P. N. Purnaiya Esquire
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Venugopalah Esquire
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Kayamguttu Lingarajapura, Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating officer's opinion that the Kayamguttadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. Accordingly only 1/5th of the rent of the "Grove Farm" is payable to him under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings No: 2074-83 / R.D. 166-92 dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Case No 15

K. Lingarajapur

Kanya Taluk

Notice served

Names not ascertained.

Koch for right

Drum

7/15.

Decision

The Karyam pattadar is not

entitled to Poddy because

his case falls under Rules

4, 16 & 17 of the Govt. Proceedings

Dismissed with

A Calendar of a claim to Jodi Revenue enquired into by Rk 4
Dn. Narasinga Rao, Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore District

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Taluk	Hobli	Village	Name of Inamdar	Documents relied upon	Witnesses examined	Finding	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decision
Bangalore	Yelahanka	Kayanguttahalli and Rajapura	Lekkumi Ramakrishnamma	Nil	Lekkumi Ramakrishnappa	The Kayangutta is not entitled to Jodi Revenue this case being under Para 4, 11 & 17 of Govt Pro No 2074-83 R.F 166-92 Dt 2-8-1901	2 7 3	(i) Non mention of right to Jodi Revenue in the Sanad (ii) do in Inam Settlement Registers (iii) Absence of credible evidence regarding enjoyment of such right (iv) The same accounts Registers-

16-1-1903.

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

S. R. file no 197902

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read :

Letter no 4659 dt 10.8.03 from the Excise Comr in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry into the claim to the toddy revenue of the Kinjarajapura Kayamgutta village, Bangalore Taluk

Order :

1989 dt 31/8/03
247/1901

The Kayamgutladar is not entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy ~~revenue~~, as it has not been conceded by the Sanad or the Inam Settlement and enjoyment for the requisite period has not been ~~supported by enjoyment~~ proved.

2. A refund bill for the amount specified by the investigating officer may be submitted for sanction after being duly verified by the Excise Comr

23/8/03

4659 dt 27.VIII.03

Dated 29/8

To the Excise Comr in Mysore returning the records

The Comptroller, Mysore for information

Dated 29/8

28/8/03
For Secretary

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 31st August 1903

No. 198-9
Ex. F. 16-01

Deciding that the Inamdar of Lingarajapura village, Bangalore Taluk, is not entitled to the toddy revenue of the village.

SBP—10-9-03—50

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 31st August 1903.

READ—

Letter No. 4659—290, dated 10th February 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry into the claim to the toddy revenue of the Kayamgutta Lingarajapura village, Bangalore Taluk.

No. 198-9—Ex. F. 16-01, DATED BANGALORE, 31ST AUGUST 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Kayamguttadar is not entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy, as it has not been conceded by the sannad or the Inam settlement, and enjoyment for the requisite period has not been proved.

2. A refund bill for the amount specified by the investigating officer may be submitted for sanction after being duly verified by the Excise Commissioner.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd—C.V.